

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/624,453	07/22/2003		Arthur Donald Leigh		5589	
7590 05/10/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Edwin D. Sch Five Hirsch Av		MORROW, JASON S				
P. O. Box 966	ciide			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Coram, NY 11727-0966				3612		
				DATE MAN ED. 05/10/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/624,453		LEIGH, ARTHUR DONALD					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jason S. Morro	A.:	3612					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprive to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory m od will apply and will expire tute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be timinimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> •							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims	•							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5-11 and 13 is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/are with definition of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-11 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from conside							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are:	a) accepted or	o) objected to b	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been red ents have been red riority documents I eau (PCT Rule 17.	ceived. ceived in Application nave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) <u> </u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,453 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses the legal phraseology "means" and "said". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the storage compartment being removable must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3612

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 3, it is unclear what is being referred to by the team "they".

In claim 1, line 5, it is unclear how the lower body portion rotates.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the front face of the caravan" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spencer.

Re claim 1, Spencer discloses a caravan having a lower body portion (14) and an upper body portion (24) which are hingedly interconnected by means of at least one hinge member (the rear portion of 24 and 14), such that they can rotate about a first position when the upper and lower body portions are in close abutment and a second elevated position when the upper and lower body portions have been rotated a predetermined distance about the hinge member, by means of an elevation mechanism (the elevation mechanism including 46, 30, and the hinges

Art Unit: 3612

between the side panels), the caravan being provided with side extension member (26, 28)) which are substantially horizontal when the body portions are in the first position but, when they are in the second position, the side extension members assume a substantially vertical orientation the arrangement being such that head room is provided over a substantial area of the caravan interior.

Re claim 2, the upper body portion assumes its second position by means of the action of the elevation mechanism directly on at least one side extension member (the springs33).

Re claim 3, the upper body assumes its second position by means of the action of the elevation mechanism directly on the upper body portion (the rear wall 30 acts directly on the upper body).

Re claim 5, the side extension members are oriented such that when the upper and lower body portions are in their first position the side extension members are disposed substantially horizontally within the interior of the caravan without the necessity of disturbing any interior furnishings (see figure 3, the panels are substantially horizontal).

Re claim 7, the side extension members are manufactured from a firm fabrication.

Re claim 13, the elevation mechanism is a wind-up mechanism (46) comprising a handle located an exterior side of the lower body portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3612

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 9. Spencer discloses all the limitations of the claims, except for a rear face where at least one hinge member is located, the face being situated opposite from a face to which a towing mechanism is attached, the caravan being manufactured substantially from aluminum, or the caravan being manufactured substantially from fiberglass/insulation sandwich material.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice at the time the invention was made to modify a caravan, such as that disclosed by Spencer, to have a rear face where at least one hinge member is located, the face being situated opposite from a face to which a towing mechanism is attached since applicant has not disclosed that this particular hinge arrangement solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears the caravan would perform equally well with the hinge mounted to the same as that where the towing mechanism is located.

The use of aluminum and fiberglass/insulation sandwich material is old and well known in the construction of caravans.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct a caravan, such as that disclosed by Spencer, to be constructed of aluminum or fiberglass/insulation sandwich material, as is old and well known in the art, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Art Unit: 3612

10. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spencer in view of Gehman.

Spence discloses all the limitations of the claims, as applied above, except for a removable storage compartment being provided at a front face of the lower body portion of the caravan.

Gehman teaches a removable storage compartment (C) being provided at a front face of the lower body portion of the caravan.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a caravan, such as that disclosed by Spencer, to include a removable storage compartment, as taught by Gehman, in order to provide additional storage for the camper (Gehman, column 6, line 21).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cooper, Pacca, Frank, Laue, Fletcher, Kottke, Hagenson, O'Brian et al., and Andonian disclose expansible campers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-7803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason S. Morrow

Examiner

Art/Unit 3612/

May 2, 2004

JASON MORROW

PATENT EXAMINER